

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/908,453	08/07/1997		GARY RUVKUN	08472/704002	9530
75	90	07/25/2002			
CLARK & EL	BING		EXAMINER		
176 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110				SHUKLA, RAM R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1632	ഹ
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	54_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/908,453	RUVKUN ET AL.				
navicery neuen	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Ram R Shukla	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 8,10-13 and 16.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,19 and 20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7,14 and	<u>21-28</u> .					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-0.0				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		medlinh				
		PATENT EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE



Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of the interview with the applicants and the inventor on February 21 and the after final response and amendment filed 7-2-02, the enablement rejection of claims 8, 10-13 and 16 has been withdrawn. It is noted that claims 19 and 20 remain rejected since they are dependent on claim 15. Claims 9 and 20 dependent on claim 16 only would be allowable. It is further noted that the enablement rejection of claims 15 and its dependent claims is maintained for reasons of record set forth in the previous office action of 3-26-02. Applicants arguments have been fully considered, however they are no found persuasive. Applicants have reiterated the same arguments as presented in response to the office action of 6-7-01. It is reiterated that while the cDNA of AGE-1 is taught in the specification and an artisan would be able to see change in mRNA levels by northern analysis, in the absence of the promoter of the AGE-1, the artisan would not be know whether the change in mRNA level was due to the effect of the compound on AGE-1 or some other gene which was affecting AGE-1 expression.

Continuation of 10. Other:

- (1) The second (or subsequent) supplemental reply filed on 3-7-02 and the 1.132 declaration filed 3-12-02 was not entered because entr of the reply would unduly interfere with the preparation of the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.111(a)(2). The examiner spent a significant amount of time on the preparation of an Office action before the reply was received. The examiner had completed a final action keeping view the interview with the inventor and the applicants representative. The enablement rejection pertaining to claims 8, 10-13, 16, 18-20. 29 and 30 as set forth in the non-final office action of 6-7-01 was modified and a scope rejection was set forth after considering the discussion with the inventor. It is noted that the supplemental response and the 1.132 declaration reiterates the issues discussed during the telephonic interview. Furthermore, entry of the reply would require significant additional time in the preparation of a new Office action addressing the discussion in the supplemental response.
- (2) The after final response filed 7-2-02 is not fully responsive to the office action of 3-26-02 since it does not cancel or take any other appropriate action regarding the withdrawn claims 1-7, 14 and 21-28 (see election in paper # 14; it is noted that withdrawn claims 1-7, 14 and 21-28 were inadvertently misnumbered as claims 1-4, 14 and 21-28 in previous office actions).

A responsive reply (under 37 CFR 1.111 or 37 CFR 1.113 as appropriate) to this Office action must be timely filed to avoid abandonment.

2